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Preface

Thomas Tufte & Oscar Hemer

A little over a year ago, who would have thought so much would change in 2011? Revolutions and massive social mobilizations across the globe – from the already iconic ‘Arab Spring’ to civil unrest and strikes in Malawi, massive student protests in Chile, a Europe in profound crisis from Greece, UK and Italy to the indignados of Spain. 2011 culminated with the widespread Occupy Wall Street demonstrations in the US and the beginning mobilization around Putin’s possible reelection for president in Russia in 2012.

Most recently, the unprecedented viral communication success of the video-documentary ‘Kony2102’ by the American NGO ‘Invisible Children’ has underlined some of the potentials for advocacy communication and awareness raising that social media can: although highly contested both in content and form, the fact of ‘Kony2012’ reaching 100 million hits in less than a week speaks to the clear existence and use of social media in issues of development, and it begs for a profound analysis and rethinking of the relation between development organizations, social media and ordinary citizens.

The much discussed role of social media in many of the mobilizations mentioned above has indeed sparked a historical attention to communication for social change in the spheres of media and communication scholars. Which current conferences or new calls for publications are not about voice and participation, mobilization and rights, citizenship and change? Communication for social change as a terrain of practice and as a cross-disciplinary field of scholarship and research is at the verge of drowning in success! In this environment, communication for development and social change has proliferated, it is becoming increasingly institutionalized, and ØRECOMM is striving to take up the challenges, help set the agendas and explore the actual practices on the ground.

ØRECOMM is active both in collaborating around the institutionalization of communication for development programs worldwide, in initiating and
participating in new publications in the field, in having PhD-students take up a
series of the emerging research challenges and lastly, in regularly hosting seminars,
guest lectures and, as a new but key element: organizing the 5-day ØRECOMM
festival in September 2011. This publication is an outcome from the
ØRECOMM Festival 2011.

Social Media and Development Cooperation

The ØRECOMM festival theme was ‘Agency in the Mediatized World – Media,
Communication and Development in Transition’, and with over 40 speakers from
more than 20 countries, a multiplicity of voices from many stakeholders was
brought into this international conversation.

This specific publication brings together voices from key institutions and experts
that are central in the established world of development cooperation and who
were invited to Day 3 of the festival to share their experiences in using social
media. You will here find representatives from UN-agencies, INGOs, bilaterals
and consultants that in each their way provide their take on how social media are
challenging and influencing the ways they work with development and social
change. The revolutionary potential of the social media is downplayed, the many
forms of use of social media uncovered, and the co-existence and
interdependence between new and old media is foregrounded.

It is eye-opening to see how organizational players in this field of social media,
communication and social change on the one hand are being challenged by new
technologies, but on the other hand also show some creativity, realistic and
pragmatic judgment, and first and foremost commitment to make the best use of
the new technologies to pursue the development goals and challenges they work
with. The challenge in this field of development practice is to avoid technological
determinism, and rather to explore the strategic possibilities for social media to
help push forward the ambitious agendas of international development
cooperation.

What is not so much addressed in this publication but which will be at the centre
of the Ørecomm Festival 2012 which will take place on 14-17 September, is the
ways and means whereby activists and social movements make use of media and communication in their struggles for social change. The relation between mass self-communication as seen amongst bloggers and tweeters in for example the Arab spring, and the more formal and established institutions of society constitutes an emerging challenge on the development agenda.

The next Orecomm Festival will unfold under the theme of ‘Reclaiming the Public Sphere – communication, power and social change’, putting the spotlight on critically reflecting upon how activists and social movements engage in and with the transforming public sphere. However, for now, enjoy the reading of these contributions to the debate about social media in development cooperation.

Cordially,

Oscar Hemer and Thomas Tufte
Co-directors of Orecomm
Social Media in Development Cooperation
Ricky Storm Braskov, Roskilde University
Social Media in Development Cooperation

Introduction

Ricky Storm Braskov

In our increasingly digital geopolitical environment, social and mobile media have been an all-pervasive subject, spreading fast from the daily use of millions of people all over the world through to newsrooms, media reports and academic study. This has also made itself felt in development cooperation and during the Orecomm Festival 2011 social media was the theme for a full day where practitioners from various organisations presented their work experiences and thoughts on the interplay between social media and development cooperation. This publication is an outcome of the Orecomm Festival 2011 and presents Paula Uimonen (The Swedish Program for ICT in Developing Regions), Petter Åttingsberg (International Media Support) Stine Kirstein Junge (United Nations Development Programme), Birgitte Jallov (independent consultant), and Rafael Obregon (United Nations Children’s Fund) and their experiences and reflections on social media in development cooperation. The publication addresses social media in relation to various subjects such as external communication, community radio, the Arab Spring, youth and equity, the credibility of social media, as well as new and innovative ways of using social media in development cooperation. Paula Uimonen sets the scene with her opening article; Social and Mobile Media in ICT4D, which presents and discuss the role and potential of social and mobile media as a vehicle for development drawing insights from Spider-supported projects that aim to use blogs and mobile phones in the fight against corruption in Africa. Next, Petter Åttingsberg presents a journalists point of view on social media in development cooperation. With point of departure in the Arab Spring Petter Åttingsberg examine the challenges users are exposed to if they use social media as their primary source of information and addresses how IMS is working with this new flow of information and type of citizen journalism found in social media. Stine Kirstein Junge discuss the use of social media by UNDP in external communication. As one of the key development players UNDP has, like most other agencies, applied social media in their external communication and Stine Kirstein Junge’s article presents how social media is incorporated into the work of
a major development agency. Birgitte Jallow, senior development and communication consultant, who for the past 20 years have worked extensively on and with community radio in developing countries, presents her insights on the interaction between social media and community radio and addresses how poverty stricken communities most effectively can achieve social change and empowerment. Finally, Rafael Obregon discusses the role of social media in communication for development focusing on youth and equity.

Evidently it is difficult to predict the future role and potential of social and mobile media in development cooperation, but with this publication we aim to shed some light on what is currently happening and each of the authors forward their take on the possibilities for such an agenda, contributing a variety of perspectives on the subject that we trust will contribute to the current debate.

A new social paradigm

Across the world governments, agencies and NGOs have embraced and applied new media into projects and programmes, and so have ordinary citizens and journalists during the Arab Spring to an extent, which have caused many to label the recent uprisings as Twitter and Facebook revolutions. Following the events in North Africa and the Middle East the Internet and especially the role and potential of mobile and social media has gained widespread media attention and resources and funds are increasingly being channelled into new policy frameworks, focusing on the emancipatory role of the Internet and new media. In 2010 US Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton called out for a global commitment to Internet freedom (Clinton 2010) and similarly the Swedish government have been keen advocates for the use and potential of the Internet in relation to social movements and international aid, declaring that Internet freedom will be one of the most important political issues in the years to come (Christensen 2011).

Also in development cooperation more and more development organisations are discovering the power of social media to affect change. They network, find supporters, fundraise, inform and lobby online - and some of them have grown exponentially because of it. As Stine Junge’s article on UNDP’s use of social media in external communication illustrate, it is now expected of development agencies to engage with civil society in a much more proactive and engaging way
than before. Almost all major NGOs and development agencies now have social media policies and are active on Facebook, Twitter, Youtube, Tumblr and other platforms, which offer them an opportunity to engage their supporters and carry messages to larger audiences without the filter of conventional media. However, utilising social media also present new communicative challenges and since these tools are new to many agencies and organisations their adaptation is often not seamless. When utilising social media in external communication agencies are first and foremost expected to be social and open up for the involvement of ordinary citizens. By doing so they will have to be willing to loose some control of the communication and content they are distributing when people from all over the world have the opportunity to respond and scrutinise the messages. For large multilateral organisations like United Nations agencies this poses challenges, since their constituents come from diverse backgrounds and cultures and often have conflicting values and opinions.

However, also for smaller organisations it is not as simple as just opening up a Facebook or Twitter account and post occasional updates. In a recent study by Natalie Fenton and Veronica Barassi on the implications of using social media by NGOs they found in their case study of a British organisation, that far from being empowering, the logic of self-centred participation promoted by social media could represent a threat to organisations rather than an opportunity, since the production of messages change from collective rituals to social networking practices that are linked to processes of individuation and autonomy (Fenton & Barassi 2010). Hence, it is not without risk that organisations are embracing social media and they have to be well aware that using these new platforms require different skill-sets and might alter the communicative nature of the organisation. However, social media is much more than just a tool for external communication and as the articles in this publication show, social media is now increasingly integrated into actual projects and in the work with partner organisations.

### Citizen Journalism

But it is not only development organisations that are utilising social media to affect change. Journalists are increasingly using social media and the broadcasting network Al-Jazeera is a good example of how the use of social media is currently
changing and evolving. Up until now most broadcasting networks have used social media as a way to project their views to the world and to gain audience. Al-Jazeera on the other hand tends to use social media to bring information in. This has prompted them to conduct workshops for reporters and citizens on how to construct stories and use social media in countries where they are not able to report from the ground, e.g. during the uprising in Tunisia. These stories then make their way to Al-Jazeera and are broadcast to the countries they originate from and the rest of the world. This way participatory media, a mediator, and a broadcaster made it possible for Tunisians to see what was actually happening in their own country during the revolution when most media was filtered and biased towards the state. Hence, broadcast media and citizen journalism are merging in new ways, which is also a topic that Petter Åttingsberg investigates in his article on the credibility of using social media as a primary source of information. Likewise, Birgitte Jallov studies how the radio is now merging and interacting with social and mobile media in ways that are complementing each other.

However, with all the current enthusiasm about social media and its role in social change processes, there are progressively more scholars and practitioners questioning the power of social media to affect change.

**Liberation vs. Repression**

Although there has been a recent surge in academic and popular discourse and debate on social media, these tools are still new and empirical work on the subject has previously been hard to come by. This is changing rapidly, however up until now much of the debate on the topic has been driven by ideology and anecdotal evidence, which has led to a fragmented and polarised debate in some circles, whether social media is a force for liberation or repression. From the sceptics we have heard that social media do not help bring about democracy and in fact, in the hands of the wrong people, leads to more propaganda, surveillance and censorship (Morazov 2011). In addition to arguments that social media do not have the potential to bring about change and is a form of organising which favours weak-tie connections that give us access to information over strong-tie connections that helps us persevere in the face of danger (Gladwell 2011). Many

---

others argue that the recent events in the Arab world have led to techno-utopianism in the West - an almost blind belief in the liberating force of social and mobile media. Obviously, as Paula Uimonen notes in her article, Facebook, Twitter and mobile phones alone are hardly going to change the world. Technology in itself cannot do that – people do that.

New technology is also neither a force for good or bad by itself and can be used by anyone regardless of their political or social agenda. Recently the Somali Islamist insurgent group, Al-Shabaab, have embraced social media by opening a Twitter account\(^2\), which gained more than seven thousand followers in less than a month. In spite of their previous rejection of all things Western, they are now tweeting directly with the Kenyan military mocking their efforts and posting propaganda on a daily basis. As many have pointed out social media have also been used by the Iranian authorities to monitor and arrest insurgents and might have played a smaller part in the Iranian Green Revolution than it has previously been given credit for (Christensen 2011(1)) Furthermore, access points such as Internet cafes are increasingly becoming a favourite regulatory target for authoritarian governments. In Belarus for example, Internet service providers and Internet cafes are required by law to keep lists of all users and turn them over to state security services. (Deibert & Rohozinski 2010:11). Governments, even the ones that are praising the democratising effect of the Internet are also increasingly working on regulatory bills and new ways to monitor and control the Internet (Morazov 2011).

Recently both the US and the EU have pushed for increased legislation and surveillance of the Internet through bills such as SOPA, PIPA, and ACTA\(^3\). These bills aim to stop copyright infringement committed by foreign websites however, they do so in a way that would infringe free expression and possibly harm the free Internet. Bills like these would negatively affect anyone who writes or distributes Virtual Private Network, proxy, privacy or anonymization software, which are the very same tools that have been used by activists to circumvent authoritarian Internet regulations in China and during the Middle East and North African uprisings. And it is not only governments that are working on ways to monitor the Internet. Currently US firms are creating software for data mining systems able to

\(^2\)twitter.com/#!/HSMPress

\(^3\)Stop Online Piracy Act (SOPA), Protect IP Act (PIPA), Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement (ACTA)
scan social media for potential aggression, and since more and more on-line communication is moving unto mobile phones it will be much easier to monitor activity, as they are connected to towers that are easily traceable (Morazov 2010).

With these constraints in mind however, social and mobile media is making a difference and its rapid proliferation has great potential, especially in the developing world where there is very little media to begin with. But what exactly is the nature of that difference? Social and mobile media might not be revolutionary in the sense that they, by themselves, will overthrow repressive regimes and emancipate oppressed people, but as Paula Uimonen notes in her article, social media are revolutionary in the sense that they facilitate, even fortify, a culture of networking. From the perspective of developing countries where communication and media are sparse and often non-existent, social and mobile media do have a massive potential in connecting people both within and to the outside world. It is a qualitative change and as Yochai Benkler has pointed out, in a complex modern society, where things that matter can happen anywhere and at any time, the capacities of people armed with the means of recording, rendering, and communicating their observations change people’s relationship to the events that surround them (Yochai Benkler 2010:10). This was obvious during the Arab Spring, but is also true in less dramatic settings in the developing world where farmers, local businesses, and NGO’s increasingly make use of social and mobile media in new and innovative ways.

**Developing countries embracing new media**

During the Arab Spring we have seen ordinary citizens armed with mobile phones, Facebook and Twitter accounts organise and overthrow decade long regimes. But social media are also being utilised in developing countries in less spectacular settings and in many different and pioneering ways. As Birgitte Jallovs study of community radio illustrate the radio has been a powerful tool for development, especially in African countries, where it has often also been one of very few communicative media. However, this is rapidly changing with the introduction of the mobile phone, which is changing the mediascape immensely. This is a topic discussed by most of the authors in this publication and many others have hailed mobile technology as a second ICT4D revolution. Although
this might be an exaggeration the rapid proliferation of mobile phones in the developing world does have a profound impact.

The mobile phone is the most accessible and affordable ICT for most people in the developing world and acquiring a mobile phone has been a quantum leap for many Africans who have never had a chance of owning a landline. Access to mobile phones in Africa has now risen from one in fifty persons at the beginning of the 21st century, to almost one in three just a few years later in 2008 (De Bruijn 2008:3). Internet penetration on the continent is still low compared to the rest of the world and it is estimated that 11.5 percent of the African population have Internet access compared to a world average of 30.2 percent. However, with the introduction of smart phones this is likely to change and it is estimated that smart phone penetration will likely reach eighty percent in South Africa in 2014 (Rao 2011:20). The increase in mobile phones has not only helped increase interpersonal communication but has also brought about new and creative applications and projects. Up until now many software developers have not had their eyes on the African market, but increasingly local developers are creating software and applications adapted to specific African contexts.

**Local solutions**

A recent example of a mobile application developed for a specific African context is the Kenyan iCow mobile application, which won the 2010 U.S. Department of State sponsored Apps for Africa competition. iCow is an SMS and voice-based mobile phone application made for small-scale dairy farmers that helps farmers track the oestrus stages of their cows while giving them valuable tips on cow breeding, animal nutrition, milk production efficiency and gestation. Across the continent there are many similar applications being developed for farmers where they e.g. receive daily updates on market prices for their crops, which helps them to sell their crops on favourable days. It was also the mobile phone that helped bring about one of Africa’s most innovative applications, Ushahidi (Swahili for testimonial). Ushahidi was developed as the chaos and violence unfolded in Kenya following the 2007 disputed election when it was extremely difficult to obtain accurate information about incidents of violence due to media bias and
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5http://www.icow.co.ke/
harassment of journalists. Much of the violence was organised through vernacular radio stations and through text messages where recipients were urged to inform on other tribes and incited to violence, which were then forwarded and spread rapidly throughout the country. Witnessing this the Kenyan lawyer and blogger Ory Okolloh posted online the idea of an Internet mapping tool, allowing citizens to report violence and election fraud. The call was picked up by David Kobia and Erik Hersman, two technologists from Kenya, who developed the software for the program, which is a mashup of the Internet application google earth and a tool that allows users to upload incidents of violence through their mobile phone or Internet browser. The incidents were then plotted into a map using the locations given by informants and within weeks they had documented in detail hundreds of incidents of violence and received hundreds of thousands site visits from around the world (Goldstein and Rotich p 3).

Ushahidi is in many ways a good example of how the current mediascape is evolving and changing. Not long ago it would have been almost incomprehensible to think that new and groundbreaking technology would emerge out of poor African countries, but Ushahidi has since been used and remixed by citizens and aid agencies in many different countries during crisis’ such as floods, storms, wildfires and earthquakes as well as elections. Up until now much of the software developed in Africa have been low-tech applications made for conventional mobile phones, however with increasing Internet penetration and smart phones this creates new possibilities for even more ground breaking innovations, which we will likely see the impact of in development cooperation in the near future.

References


Social and Mobile Media in ICT4D
Paula Uimonen, SPIDER
Can Facebook, Twitter, and mobile phones change the world? Obviously not! But there is something to be said for social networking sites, online news feeds, and mobile communication when it comes to ICT for Development (ICT4D). This paper will identify some key features of social and mobile media and relate these to social and political change, while paying attention to global patterns of digital stratification. Spider-supported projects that aim to use blogs and mobile phones in the fight against corruption in Africa will be used to illustrate and concretize opportunities as well as challenges. Reflections on how a networked organization like Spider can benefit from social media will be combined with a self-critical assessment of some pitfalls involved.

What’s revolutionary about social media?

If there ever was a medium that fulfilled our right to express ourselves freely, it would have to be the Internet. No other medium allows information to flow so freely, in total disregard of existing national and cultural boundaries. No other medium requires so little resources to reach out to so many. As such, the Internet breathes new life into the principles of freedom of expression and opinion that guide press freedoms throughout the democratic world. To recapitulate, Article 19 in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights states that “Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.” In many ways, the Internet realizes these ideals by providing a public forum of global reach.

These lines are taken from an article I wrote titled “Networking for Democracy: The Internet and The Developing World.” It appeared in The Namibian 15th Anniversary Commemorative Magazine, after being published in Deutschland magazine, which the editors of The Namibian had somehow come across, probably online. At the time, I had never been to Sub-Saharan Africa, let alone Namibia, but having an article published in the magazine made me feel somehow connected to this part of the world. And I suspect the editors appreciated that my article helped the
magazine keep up with global trends like Internet and democratization, based on my research in Southeast Asia.

I wrote that article in 2000, long before social media existed, yet it captures some essential features of the Internet that have since come to fruition. Having studied the Internet since 1995, I cannot help but note that public claims on the democratic power of social media resonate quite well with the utopian visions of social change that characterized earlier discourses about the Internet. Could it be that proponents of the ‘social media revolution’ are too young to recall the ‘Internet revolution’? Or is there something fundamentally different about social media? I tend to take claims about the novelty of social media with a pinch of salt. But I recognize that there is something to be said for generational gaps in social media use. People who have grown up with the Internet seem more apt at blogging, tweeting and facebooking than those of us born before it, at least in the well-connected parts of the world. Even so, there is something to be said for similarities between social media and the Internet in general. I would argue that social media is above all a manifestation of the “culture of networking” that distinguishes the Internet (Uimonen 2001). The power of social media lies in the ability to connect people, to mediate and mobilize social networks. This is an inherent feature of the Internet, not just social media. Nonetheless, it is much easier to set up a blog than a web site. Similarly, it takes very little skills to open a Facebook account and seeing that almost 1/3 of the world’s Internet population are on Facebook, you are quite likely to meet old and new friends.

So I would argue that social media are not revolutionary in terms of networking tools, which simply build on the social and cultural characteristics of the Internet, but they do make these more accessible. Just like the Internet, social media are decentralized, interactive, boundary-crossing media. By making these networking tools more readily available to people around the world, social media fortify some of the revolutionary features of the Internet. By revolutionary I mean ground-breaking and innovative, rather than politically radical, although the Internet also has a history of facilitating political activism and reform. We have now become so accustomed to this global information resource that we may forget what our news intake was like before the web or how difficult it was to organize political and social movements before we had email, let alone twitter.

The prominence of networking as a principle of social organization has been well-established by prominent scholars, who define the world in terms of a global
network society (Castells 2004, 2009) or a network of networks (Hannerz 1992, 1996). Although some Internet scholars shun away from network theory, I tend to take the opposite view. When we are dealing with a media technology that is generally defined as a “network of networks” it makes sense to build on a theoretical body that captures the essence of the Internet, especially when social theory even precedes digital networking (Hannerz 1980).

Social networks are as old as humanity, but there is something to be said for social change when one of the most basic principles of human existence is mediated by new forms of communication and interaction. These days networks are everywhere, and through social media they are made visible as well as scalable. This has direct implications for power. As Castells (2004, 2009) argues, in the network society, power as well as counterpower is organized in networks. Thus while the ‘sources’ of social power have not changed fundamentally, the ‘terrain’ where relations of power operate have changed in major ways, “it is primarily organized around networks, not single units” (Castells 2009: 50).

What makes network theory particularly compelling is that it is equally applicable to mobile media. In many developing regions, the mobile phone is far more widespread than the Internet. There is now an estimated 2 billion Internet users around the world and around 4 billion mobile phones in circulation, out of which some 1 billion are smart phones with Internet access. So network theory goes a long way in capturing the social and cultural essence of both social and mobile media.

And this is why social and mobile media are revolutionary, because they facilitate, even fortify, the culture of networking. At a time when the dominant global trend is directed at deconstruction of the social (deregulation of the private sector, privatization of the public sector, degradation of our environment), the fact that social and mobile media enable people to connect and mobilize translates into revolutionary (in the sense of counter-hegemonic) power.

The Arab spring, the Spanish revolution and now the global “occupy movement” all point towards mediated bottom-up mobilization against top-down political and economic structures. Of course it was not Twitter or Facebook that brought down Mubarak. People did. But if social media played no role in this, why on earth did the Egyptian authorities shut down the Internet? At a time when power and counterpower are organized in networks, it is quite clear that any technology that
mediates networking will have considerable political ramifications. This is why governments around the world are becoming increasingly nervous about the popularity of social and mobile media.

**Digital stratification and ICT4D**

The uneven distribution of digital media is of course highly problematic, especially from a global perspective, which is why ICT4D is an important field of intervention and research. Discourses on the digital divide have been around longer than the term ICT4D, which was popularized during the World Summit on the Information Society (WSIS) in Geneva in 2003. Over time, polemic debates have become more nuanced, shifting from a technical focus to a more embedded understanding of socio-economic, cultural and political gaps. Even so, the term itself suggests a rather clear-cut division between ‘haves’ and ‘have-nots,’ focusing on digital inclusion/exclusion (cf. Castells 2004, 2009). In reality, patterns of digital stratification are all but binary, since the uneven distribution of requisite material and cultural resources is highly complex. Nonetheless, various instruments used to measure ICT offer an indication of general patterns of digital stratification, especially for comparisons between “developed” and “developing” countries.

One way of measuring the impact of social media is to assess the number of users. When searching for images in Google I found images depicting Facebook as a country (see Figure 1). And it is true that with an estimated 700 million users, Facebook can be compared to the population of a whole country, a rather large one on top of that. 700 million users is of course an impressive number, representing about 10% of the world population, but what about global distribution? While some 209 million or 25.6% of the population in Europe and 168 million or 48.4% of the population in North America use Facebook, in Africa the number of users is 30 million or 3.0% of the population (See Figure 2). In Asia, the percentage of the population is also
quite low (3.9%), but in this populous region, the number of users is quite high at 153 million.

When it comes to mobile phones, the distribution is less unequal. In 2010, mobile phone penetration (measured in subscriptions) was 114.2% for developed countries and 70.1% for developing countries (ITU 2011). This can be compared to an Internet penetration of 68.8% in developed countries, as opposed to 21.1% in developing countries (Ibid.). So while the Internet may be out of reach for most people in developing countries, the mobile phone is far more accessible. Of course there are still major gaps in terms of quality and affordability, but the majority of people in developing countries now have access to mobile phones. As companies are developing cheap models of smart phones, a growing number of people in developing countries are also able to access the Internet via their mobile phones. Still, for the poorest of the poor, the mobile phone tends to be a low-end model.

![Facebook users around the world](http://www.internetworldstats.com/facebook.htm)

I am introducing these statistics to remind us that although social and mobile media are global, they are unevenly distributed, in many ways correlating with other development indicators. Indeed, the ICT Development Index (IDI), which measures ICT infrastructure, skills and use for 152 countries around the world, shows quite clearly that the poorest countries in Africa, Asia and Latin America
also rank the lowest when it comes to ICT. As noted in the latest IDI measurement, “While most of the leading IDI countries are still from the developed world, it is encouraging to see that the most dynamic performers are developing countries. The majority of these are middle-income countries, however, and most of the least developed countries remain at the bottom of the index.” (Ibid: iii).

**Mediated social activism in practice: Examples from Tanzania and Uganda**

How can social and mobile media be used in the fight against corruption? And what are some of the challenges involved? Two recently started Spider-supported projects in Tanzania and another Sub-Saharan African country offer some insights into the added value of social and mobile media as well as issues to be considered.

"Not Here" is a project that seeks to develop web and mobile interfaces to rate and report corruption. The initial pilot project focuses on one sector, where project partners and ICT volunteers will collaborate with organizations that are actively combating corruption in that sector. Through the project, technology platforms based on free and open source software (FOSS) will be developed. Individuals in the sector will use these platforms to record, report, and publicize quiet corruption (e.g. incompetence and misrepresentation of credentials) and hard corruption (e.g. bribery and sexual favors).

With security as an overriding concern, "Not Here" has to balance openness and transparency with anonymity and integrity. While social and mobile media can be powerful tools in exposing and reporting corruption, they are also risky. Since project activities are aimed at identifying and even taking legal action against actual instances of corruption, these efforts can entail serious repercussions. The people behind this initiative are concerned about their own security as well as the security of people involved in the project, so their integrity and anonymity has to be protected at all times. At the same time, the project partners have to ensure the legality of their actions, which requires considerable expertise to verify and validate incoming reports, not to mention precautionary measures to ensure that any legal steps taken follow due legal procedure. Indeed, the development of web and mobile applications is the easy part of this complex project.
The *Chanjo* project tackles corruption in a very different manner, combining music, social media and mobile phones. *Chanjo*, which means “vaccination” in Kiswahili, is a campaign against corruption, laziness and selfishness. A group of Tanzanian musicians will tour the entire country and perform in open public spaces, playing music with a strong anti-corruption message while encouraging open debate among participants. To reach a wider audience, the project team will document their activities through a blog, which will also expand the public forum for debate. The music will be distributed for free through the Internet and over mobile phones, and the team will use photo and video for documentation.

In the *Chanjo* project artistic creativity has to be balanced with technological limitations. Unlike the ICT-savvy *Not Here* team, the *Chanjo* project team is composed of young artists with very limited technical skills. In preparations of this project it became clear that the group had to involve a young blogger to master the online interface. Even so, the team is having problems integrating the blog into their activities. While they are experts at organizing performances and involving their audiences in interactive debate, their blogging is not all that astute. In this respect, Facebook offers a complimentary communication tool. Some of the team members have large (+1,000) networks in Facebook, and they are far more used to facebooking than to blogging. In a Tanzanian cultural context, facebooking ties in with local notions of friendship, thus mediating the cultural ethos of *pamoja* (togetherness) that characterizes social relations (Uimonen 2012). Through status updates and wall posts on their Facebook pages, the *Chanjo* performers are able to share information as well as direct their friends to the blog.
thus using one social medium to promote the use of another social medium through remediation.

Who likes Spider?

Like most organizations, Spider uses social media to communicate with partners and stakeholders, in addition to a web site and online newsletter. More precisely, Spider has a Facebook page, a Twitter account and a LinkedIn account. None of these social media platforms are particularly well-populated: Spider has 105 members in LinkedIn, 412 followers in Twitter, and 56 likes in Facebook. By comparison, the online newsletter is distributed to a network of some 850 people.

Since Spider is a network organization, social media make sense, offering networking tools for textual and audiovisual communication. Spider’s network is spread around the world, the newsletter reaching people in over 40 different countries. Social media can obviously augment global communication and interaction, thus enforcing as well as visualizing Spider’s networks. However, social media offer numerous challenges, which are not easily dealt with.

Over the years, social media have become a “truism,” organizations are “expected to have a presence,” Daniel Berggren, Spider’s Communication Officer notes when we discuss our use of social media. But being there does not automatically translate into an active use of social media, which requires considerable time and effort, as well as certain social and technical skills.

There is a risk of appearing “not to be social” if you do not use these tools in a well-thought out manner, Daniel reflects. And it is true that when you appear in social media, you are expected to be more social than in other digital media. After all, that is the point. But communication and interaction in a professional context takes more effort than telling your friends what you had for dinner.

Over the years Facebook in particular has evolved into what could be conceptualized as a “parallel web.” Initially a social networking site for college students in the United States, the growing popularity of Facebook has made it an accompaniment, if not alternative, to other web-based applications, especially web sites. Organizations and companies are encouraged to have Facebook pages, a trend that media and communication consultants in particular are pushing. But there is also a growing fear of exclusion. If you are not on Facebook, people may
think your organization is outdated. We have yet to see the consequences of the push and pull of Facebook, but it is worth asking ourselves where we want to redraw the boundaries between personal and professional communication.

So, while social media offer great opportunities for organizations like Spider, they also pose new challenges, not least in terms of the resources required for communication.
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Today, social media allow us to follow political events and news without a journalist’s filter. This places heavy responsibility on the users of social media to assess the credibility of the information and the sources behind it. Despite the influence that social media have on certain groups, their outreach is usually limited to certain groups until the mainstream media may get hold of the story and publish it to the masses. This article will examine the challenges users of social media are exposed to if they use it as their primary source of information. The article looks into the schism between the “old” established media where you can find “reliable” information that is backed up by journalists, and the new information sources where the users themselves have to sort the information they find on social media such as Facebook. Finally, the article will address how IMS (International Media Support) is working with this new type of journalism and flow of information that are found in social media.

Explosion of social media

In 2011 social media took a huge leap forward in spite of its short history of existence. The Arab spring revealed that social media was more than a means for people to stay in touch with friends and old classmates. It had also become an important tool for a young generation to express their political views, and rise up from oppression. One of the reasons behind its success is the stark rise in Internet usage in the Middle East over the last few years. In December 2000 Egypt had 450,000 Internet users (Internetworldstats.com). Now there is a total of 20.1 million.

1Internetworldstats.com
During the uprising in Egypt the use of social media also exploded. According to the Arab Social Media Report from Dubai School of Government, Egypt gained 1.9 million new Facebook users during the first quarter of 2011. This is equivalent to 2.27 per cent of the population. The total number of Facebook users in Egypt is now reaching 9.4 million and grew by more than 1.7 million in the last six months\(^2\). If we use Egypt as an example of the growth of social media in the last year, it has been enormous. The same tendency of growth can be detected throughout the rest of the Middle East and North African region (MENA). In Algeria, for instance, Internet usage increased from 50,000 users in December 2000 to 4.7 million users in June 2011. Of these, 2.3 million have a Facebook subscription.

*The impact of Twitter*

Twitter has also had a major impact in the region. The estimated Twitter population in the Arab region at the end of March 2011 was around 6.5 million. The estimated number of tweets generated in the Arab region in the first quarter of 2011 (1 January – 30 March) by the “active users” was 22,750,000 tweets. The estimated number of daily tweets is 252,000 tweets per day, or 175 tweets a minute, or roughly three tweets a second.

Facebook users in the MENA region are primarily young people. A demographic breakdown of Facebook users in the MENA region shows that 75 per cent of users are between the ages of 15-29. We can assume that the same is true for Twitter and other social media users.

*Alarming videos*

There are a number of interesting findings to be made on the Syrian "We are all Hamza Alkhatheeb's" Facebook site. Amongst the many disturbing postings, there is a video of a man sitting on the ground, which lasts for 15 minutes. He cries and he begs while a man in uniform whips him with a thin stick. The 15 minutes feel like an eternity and it is clear that only few would watch it until its end. A cell phone or

\(^2\)socialbankers.com
a small camera records the video. Who recorded it? We do not know. How did the video end up on Facebook? We don’t know. The text below the video says that this man is being tortured because he recorded the military with a mobile phone at a demonstration in Syria. This is not unusual or surprising. But there is no by-line. No one takes responsibility for the text other than the anonymous people behind the page on which the video has been posted. This video and text are not unique. Facebook, Twitter and YouTube are full of these kinds of alarming videos. They are recorded in Syria, Egypt, Bahrain, Tunisia or Yemen, just to mention a few countries. It is difficult to get an overview of the material and its authenticity when surfing social media.

While we applaud the freedom of expression that lies in Facebook and other socialising media, we have to consider the increasing responsibility that is transferred from the person behind the information to the user. The user now has to critically filter the information provided and not trust everything that is posted on a Facebook wall. No one has carried out fact-based checks on the information or background checks on the sources.

For the general masses, also in the Middle East, newspapers, news websites, TV and radio remain the primary sources of news, as the amount of information generated through social media can be overwhelming. In the Middle East, satellite TV is the main source of information for the average citizen regardless of their educational background or lack there of. Large sections of the population in countries like Egypt and Morocco are illiterate and are therefore excluded from direct access to print media. In Egypt, 40 per cent of the total population of 80 million are illiterate.

**Better than police radio**

Social media, and here, primarily YouTube, Facebook and Twitter played a major and an important role in the Arab awakening. The uprising began in Tunis in response to the desperate actions of Mohamed Bouazizi, who set himself on fire in protest over the confiscation of his goods and his treatment by local officials. He died 4 January 2011 from his injuries. Via social media, journalists and interested citizens could follow the events in Tunis closer than ever before.
Some would argue that social media had its real breakthrough during the riots in Iran in June 2009. Mobile videos and tweets became the Iranians’ link to the outside world, a world that suddenly began to listen. Social media allowed outsiders direct access to what was happening inside the country where the regime under normal circumstances had full control of the information coming out. Social media became the preferred source of information for many journalists during this time. Previously, journalists would use police radio to follow the news of this nature. Today, many reporters have their own streams of information that they subscribe to on social media. They have selected people they can trust, and treat them as ordinary sources of information.

Social media – is it really new?

Throughout the Arab uprising, Western media have characterised what happened as a social media revolution. The role of social media has been interpreted as something different, something unique that has not been seen before. This is both true and false.

It is true because the development of social media over the last few years has given ordinary people the possibility of expressing themselves to a larger audience than ever before in the blink of an eye. They are able to create digital networks that have no borders. They can use simple methods to transmit live TV, record sound, take pictures and write messages. Everything can be uploaded in a split second, and potentially made available to all users of the Internet, which according to internetworkworldstats.com totalled 2 billion users in March 2011.

It is also false because prior to social media, you had local pirate radio, underground newspapers, telephones and more. Social media is just another means of communicating with the help of modern technology. Social media or the Internet is just another communications tool.

Credibility and responsibility

But let us be clear. Social media has had a huge impact on the information stream both in the Middle Eastern countries and outside the region. Social Media has
connected people who previously did not know one another. Via Twitter, messages have both been sent to and from the Egyptians who marched down to Tahrir Square. Also journalists from BBC, Aljazeera, CNN and the rest of the world’s press have monitored these flows of information. They have frequently quoted what was written in these information streams while citing Twitter or another social media as their source – thus not taking responsibility for the content they were reproducing.

Herein lies the problem of social media - who is responsible for what is trustworthy? While there is no doubt that there is much useful information available in social media, there are no demands of credibility and ethics tied to what is published. Users of Twitter and Facebook do not necessarily have the same ethics and skills as those required of a trained journalist. However, it is important to emphasise that some journalists also chose not to practise this code of ethics.

**Making money on torture**

Going back to the man in the video in Syria who was being beaten, we ask again: who recorded the video? It is unlikely that the military themselves would film this event and disburse it. Rima Marrouch is a Syrian blogger and journalist who lives in Lebanon. She participated in a seminar organised by Youth for Democracy in Copenhagen in autumn 2011. She said that there were some military people who sold videos of torture to various opposition pages on Facebook. If this is true, social media is indirectly supporting torture by providing a channel for this kind of footage.

At the annual Arab Reporters for Investigative Journalism (ARIJ) conference, organised in collaboration with International Media Support and SIDA (Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency) in Amman, a video was shown of a demonstration in Bahrain. The journalist who presented the clip told the audience that this was a clip of a protester who was hit by a bullet from the military who were disguised as civilians. There were no pictures of the shot, only of a crowd standing by a car. The journalist claimed that this was a military vehicle from which shots had been fired against the crowd. Viewers of the video
could not see either weapons or anything else that indicated that shots had been fired from the vehicle. There was no evidence that the shot was fired by the military and most journalists would find a lack of visual proof. In comparison we can use the picture from the clashes in Cairo on 17 December 2011 where footage shows a representative of the military on Tahrir Square firing his gun into the crowd of demonstrators. This is a good example of visual proof, which often is missing in social media reports.

If you follow the YouTube and Facebook stream from the Syrian SNN (Sham News Network), shocking pictures and videos of President Assad’s assaults on the population are published daily. As such there is no doubt that there is abuse. But at SNN, image after image will be published of dead people. We do not know who they are. We do not know who is responsible for publishing the information. The photos could be 10 years old, have fictitious names attached to them and have lots of other errors. In December, SNN published a video of disguised military men who destroyed a store and chased the shop owners down the street – or so they claimed. The video showed a group of men who knocked on a steel door to a shop, but nothing more. For ordinary people it may be difficult to decipher what is the correct version in a story such as this.

Bloggers’ impact on established media

Rima Marrouch and many other bloggers do not think that we should give social media the amount of credit we do. It was not social media that created the revolution, but the people in the street. Many bloggers disagree with the statement that the Arab spring could not have happened without social media. Ranwa Yehia, director of "Arab Digital Expression Foundation" supports this view. She explains that it is not the success of social media that made the revolution, but it contributed to making the revolution happen. Yehia believes that social media, in collaboration with the mainstream media, has a huge impact. Ranwa Yehia explains that the role of bloggers is to create events, such as a "We hate SCAF (Supreme Council of the Armed Forces) day". Such an event makes the mainstream media circumvent their ban on writing critically about SCAF by quoting bloggers on what they write.
The revolutions in Tunisia and Egypt were ignited by incidents that were reported first in social media and then broadcast on TV. Satellite TV is the largest media throughout the MENA region, with a penetration of 98 per cent. In Tunisia, it was the story of Mohamed Bouazizi who set himself on fire that set the protests in motion.

In Egypt, it was the Google CEO Wael Ghnomi's speech on TV after he was released from several days of detention, which enraged people to act. There are also those who claim that the closure of the Internet on 28 January 2011 contributed to gathering the masses because they could no longer follow developments online.

But again, both social media and established media played a very important role in the uprising in the Middle East, both together and separately. Only few doubt that Wael Ghnomi's posting on the Facebook page, "We are all Khaled Said", which called people out for the demonstration on 25 January has had a great impact on young Egyptians. The posting said: “January 25: Revolution against Torture, Corruption, Unemployment and Injustice." It was published two hours after he had asked on Facebook whether anyone wanted to do in Egypt what they had done in Tunisia. Today, his Arabic page, "We are all Khaled Said", has nearly 1.8 million followers. Of the 80 million people living in Egypt, 20 million use the Internet. In light of this, “We are all Khaled Said” has almost 10 per cent of all Internet users as followers, a huge number.

**Social media for the already “informed” citizens**

The ones who argue against the impact of social media will claim that in Egypt, for example, the outreach of social media was limited to the young, well-educated intellectuals, the “already informed citizens”, and not a broad audience. But they also reached the Egyptian journalists who used the protesters’ information for their stories, and thereby made them available to a larger audience. When journalists from around the world began to read what the young generation wrote, they quickly turned the spotlight towards the Middle East. When TV began to use the information from social media, people were made aware of what was going on, and the whole world could follow the events. By
using references to social media, two billion people with Internet access suddenly were able to follow the events on their own. Suddenly they had the opportunity to make a choice, either to follow it through the mainstream media, or through their Twitter account or Facebook profile.

**Change in breaking news**

Social media has forever changed the shape of breaking news. The role of most journalists on a web-desk today is to gather information from various social media streams during a breaking news scenario. This, because Twitter and other social media operate at a speed and with a content that cannot be provided by a single reporter on the ground can provide. With a Twitter stream and tweet pictures you can get an overview in a short space of time that was not possible just a few years ago.

Social media has also forever changed the way we receive our information. In the last 3 - 4 years, social media have had a tremendous impact on journalism. But as I have tried to argue in this article, information from social media must be treated with caution.

**The work of International Media Support**

In IMS (International Media Support) where we work with freedom of expression and developing journalism in countries affected by armed conflict, human insecurity and political transition, we encounter all the above challenges. Based on journalism's basic code of independence and credibility, it can sometimes be difficult to work with social media. IMS does believe however, that social media, and ordinary people's ability to communicate and provide information, greatly help to improve journalism and contribute to major advances for free speech. As an organisation, which, among other things, works with professionalisation of media, we see great potential in working in the grey zone that exists between social media and journalism. In our daily work we see what benefits may arise when we work with ordinary citizens/activist and bloggers. One of the obvious advantages is when we can engage people outside the big cities to contribute to established
journalism. In countries such as Jordan and Egypt, the main media outlets are located in the biggest cities and tend to focus on stories from the big cities. They do not have the capacity, or the financial ability to establish sub-offices in the rural areas. The consequence of this is a metro-polycentric point of view that tends to forget the problems in the countryside.

IMS works with both grass-root organisations and large and established media. In both cases one of the fundamental ideas is to work with the cornerstone in journalism, which is independence and credibility.

**Citizen journalism**

IMS has for a period of time worked with a project in Jordan, where we have established collaboration between a national TV station Roya TV, a national newspaper, Al Ghad, and three universities in the countryside. The students at the universities contribute with video reports to the newspaper and TV channel. Those who deliver the stories are students or volunteers who want to work with the project. In other words, this is a hybrid project where we train non-journalists to use the most basic training in how to make a journalistic story. Our hope is to get their stories out nation wide. A by-product of this is that they also release their stories on their own Facebook profiles or Youtube channels.

With another IMS partner, the independent newspaper Al Masry Al Youm (AMAY) in Egypt, we have conducted training in video journalism. The results of this training can be seen on their own Facebook pages. This has two functions; one to spread their stories into their own network; the other is to create traffic to AMAY’s homepage and Facebook portal.

**Cell phones in the countryside**

IMS has recently contributed to a project at Al Masry Al Youm (AMAY), where the goal is to get widened coverage of the country in the paper. Equipped with mobile phones, and a Danish-developed reporting application for iPhone and Android, AMAY have trained some of their regular reporters to use the
application to send back reports to their editors from the field. The main idea of this application, developed by Danish ViewWorld, is to make reporting easy. This app is so simple that ordinary people without any previous technical skills can use it. This will give AMAY the possibility to train good local talent to produce short reports to the newspaper.

Employees in International Media Support also use the application when they travel. Their reports are then posted on the IMS website (www.i-m-s.dk).

**Bloggers and activists**

In IMS’ work in Iraq, we have worked with bloggers. Together with Iraqi colleagues we are trying to create a network for bloggers. The goal is to create a better network for bloggers and to improve cooperation between established media and bloggers in Iraq and around the region.

The advantages of linking bloggers closer to mainstream media are two-fold. It gives the bloggers a wider readership, and for a newspaper it also contributes to broader and more nuanced coverage of what is happening in the country. You can apply this way of thinking to all the countries we work with in the Middle East. However, the challenge is that that many newspapers are not at liberty to blog-posts, which are too critical of their governments, which means that cooperation, is not always easy.

**Growth can lessen social interaction**

Within the concept of social media lies an expectation of socialisation and dialogue. But as the various personal initiatives grow, they tend to become one-way communication. This means that if a Facebook page like "We are all Khaled Said" grows from being a small site with one person communicating with his/hers friends to a big site with many followers, the dialogue disappears between the sender and the receiver. On the other hand it will also create a new line of communication between users on the site who share a common interest.
Today, everyone has the possibility to start his or her own channel of communication. But when it begins to grow, the need for professionalism increases to give readers the most trustworthy and accurate picture of reality.

In just few years, we have witnessed an impressive development of social media. In the MENA region, both expansion of Internet broadband and mobile broadband has contributed to online access. There is no doubt that this expansion will continue. From a journalistic perspective, this poses some challenges, as discussed in this article. But from an overall perspective, the development is positive.

Social media and the Internet give every one with an Internet connection, or access to an Internet café, the means to communicate with the whole world and thereby contribute to greater mutual understanding and freedom of expression.
UNDP’s Use of Social Media

Stine Kirstein Junge, UNDP Nordic Office
Why does UNDP use social media in external communication?

In UNDP we see social media as a tool to create dynamic opportunities for UNDP communicators, enabling direct real-time interactivity with our audiences. UNDP engages with social media in external communication for various reasons. For example we use social media to show that we are transparent, to connect with the conversation around development topics, to reach out to new audiences to advocate for development topics in general, to build communities etc. etc.

In today’s world more than hundred social media channels exist. UNDP makes use of some of them. Use of twitter enables UNDP (http://twitter.com/#!/UNDP) to engage directly and in real-time with its 52,916 followers. Twitter also has a multiplying effect, meaning that words, which are tweeted to for example 5 people can be passed on by these 5 people, then by 20, then by 100. At the same time, global social networking platforms such as Twitter make it possible for an international organization such as UNDP to reach out to all its communities around the world.

Turning to another social media channel, Facebook, UNDP uses Facebook to crowd source solutions for its problems. An example of simple problem solving on Facebook was when UNDP in 2011 launched its new website (www.undp.org) with the slogan, “Empowered Lives, Resilient Nations!” On UNDP’s Facebook page, which at that time had more than 75,000 people that liked it, people were asked to translate the slogan into their language or dialect.

Some arguments for using social media have a more imaginative character. For example the possibility of reaching out to everyone who owns a mobile phone. According to ITU World Telecommunication/ICT indicators database, the mobile cellular subscriptions in the whole world have increased from 719 million in 2000 to 5.3 billion in 2010. Here it is interesting to note that the share of

---

1 This article builds mainly on Silike von Broekhausen’s prezi presentation about UNDP’s use of social media: http://prezi.com/_bkyczwkmeaz/social-media-undp/

2 http://www.itu.int/ITU-D/ict/definitions/regions/index.html
subscriptions in developing countries has increased tremendously, from approx. 240 million in 2000 (1/3 of 719 million) to approx. 4 billion in 2010 (¾ of 5.3 billion). The volume of mobile phones in the world and in the developing world can also be illustrated with this quote by journalist on New York Times, Nicholas D. Kristof: “Here’s an interesting statistic that goes to global health, global poverty and human priorities. Worldwide, 4.6 billion people have cell phones. But only 4.3 billion have access to a toilet. So more humans have phones than access to a toilet. Wow!”

However it is still a big challenge to use social media to reach all people in the world who owns a mobile phone. It might even be unrealistic. People subscribing to cellular phones, might be illiterate and only able to use mobile phones for verbal communication, or they need to be reached in native languages, or they might have a mobile phone but very limited internet access etc. etc.

With regards to UNDP’s external communication – and reaching out to the media - Twitter is a useful tool. UNDP’s communicators can engage directly with journalists and find the key ones by using for example platforms like Muck Rack (www.muckrack.com) that lists tweeting journalists by topic. At Muck Rack you can subscribe to journalists’ tweets. Somehow Muck Rack enables you to get tomorrow’s newspaper today – you get it by tracking short messages on twitter written by journalists who do the muckracking for major media outlets. Muck Rack and similar sites, such as Google+ makes it easy to follow one line, real time reporting.

Social media also allow us to track conversations around development issues, which have relevance for UNDP and that are happening for example on Twitter or Facebook. This can be done via various tools such as Radian6, Hootsuite, and Google real-time.

---

³ Nicholas D. Kristof, facebook posting on 25 March, 8:15 pm, 2011
Monitoring and analyzing social media conversations

UNDP monitors and analyses social media conversations. To illustrate this I will repeat a UNDP case study on how UNDP used social media at the International Women’s Day (IWD), on 8th March 2011.

“International Women’s Day – UNDP brings the conversation online”

The Challenge

The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) is the United Nations’ global development network, an organization advocating for change and connecting countries to knowledge, experience and resources to help people build a better life. UNDP is on the ground in over 170 countries and territories, working with them on solutions to global and national development challenges.

International Women’s Day is a very important day for UNDP. It gives the organization the chance to reach out to people around the world and share in conversations about women’s empowerment. This year (2011), on March 8th, International Women’s Day celebrated its 100th birthday and UNDP thought that this would be a good opportunity to start trying to map out their online community and better understand who their stakeholders are and which social media sites they like to use.

The approach

UNDP chief Helen Clark celebrated International Women’s Day with a live Twitter chat, participants were encouraged to send their questions or comments about how they could empower women and achieve gender equality as a way to fight poverty and promote good governance. The questions ranged from “how can we achieve universal girls’ education”, to “is microcredit a useful tool to empower women?”

“I can think of no better way to spark conversations around the globe on ending gender inequality than through the dynamic use of social media,” says Helen Clark. “These networks are an incredibly powerful advocacy tool to educate and interact on the challenges and opportunities we face, and to work together to address them.”

In summary the International Women’s Day campaign enabled UNDP to find out more about which members of UNDP’s community were active on social media.

The case study was published in Radian6 2011 www.radian6.com 1888 6 RADIANT (1888 672-3426)
channels around the 8th of March, which channels they use, and when the conversations were happening. On the 8th of March there were over 200,000 posts related to International Women’s Day, 80% of these conversations were happening on Twitter, with the rest happening on blogs and Facebook. With this insight UNDP identified 17,000 activists on Twitter and 600 on Facebook. It means that UNDP got to know, who the key activists are on women’s empowerment issues and UNDP can now reach out to these people and start building stronger relationships.

So, UNDP uses social media to:

1. Find out more about which members of UNDP’s community that are active on social media channels
2. Find out when the conversations are happening, and
3. Find out which channels the members are using.

**UNDP’s social media channels**

As already mentioned UNDP uses various social media channels according to our communication goals and the respective communities that we want to reach. UNDP’s presence in 177 countries and territories around the world enables the organization to engage with national audiences in their native languages and on those social networking platforms that are relevant in the respective countries. For example an analysis of the online conversation around International Women’s Day showed UNDP that blogs and twitter were the leading channels. UNDP could then adjust our social media strategy on our global platforms accordingly.

With regards to twitter UNDP has a global twitter account (@UNDP) in four UN languages (Spanish, French, Arabic and English) and a twitter account that feeds with newly posted UNDP jobs (@UNDP jobs). Further to this UNDP’s staff is tweeting. The most prominent of them is UNDP’s Administrator, Helen Clark. In addition to the people from UNDP who tweet UNDP also has more than 35 Country Offices and Thematic pages that tweet.

Facebook is another social media channel vividly used by UNDP. UNDP has global Facebook accounts in French, English and Spanish. From UNDP’s Facebook it is possible to generate certain statistics. Looking at some demographic
indicators (see figure 1) of the users of UNDP’s Facebook accounts, it tells that 50% of the users are female, 48% are male.

Figure 1: Demographic indicators for UNDP’s Facebook accounts

Looking at age, most users are between 25-34 years old. The second largest user group with regards to age is the group between 18 and 24. Other age groups using Facebook reach very insignificant numbers. Most users are from the US but Pakistan also hits high followed by India and Indonesia.

**How is UNDP using social media?**

As illustrated with the case about the International Women’s Day, UNDP uses social media for two way dialogue and live twitter chats. By using the hash tag (#) followed by a key word (eg. #UNDPchat) it is possible to measure the impact of a campaign like the one on International Women’s Day. If we take the key words:
UNDP mentioned in relation to International Women’s Day, Gender, Equality, Women, Rights or Empowerment. These Key Words were mentioned 18,539 times in seven days (on 8 March and one week ahead). It is possible to retrieve a #UNDPCHAT HashTracking.com Report from a live twitter chat event. With regards to the International Women’s Day case, 500 tweets generated 1,974,654 impressions, reaching an audience of 317,326 followers within 24 hours.

Live tweeting from events is another way of using twitter. This was for example done by UNDP’s Cairo Office from the Transition Forum (#demtransition). And why live-tweeting? Live-tweeting: 1. Is note taking for yourself, 2. Provides key takeaways for those who are not participating in the event, 3. Enables you to join the conversation at the event, 4. Gives you the opportunity to make new contacts and gain followers, 5. Shows your expertise, 6. Is an example of social reporting.

UNDP also does Facebook webcast. A Facebook interview with Helen Clark was for example done during the Review Summit for the Millennium Development Goals in 2010. It had 10,000 viewers. CNN has more viewers, but 10,000 is still a significant number, especially if it is UNDP’s target group that views.

Social media is also used for Campaigns. At the World Environment Day UNDP invited friends on the global Facebook account to submit tree-hugger photos. And social media can also be used for listening and for reputation management. The tool, Tweetdeck.com can be used for that. By submitting key words, for example Kenya and United Nations you will be able to see all persons and organizations that are tweeting on these keywords.

Future use of social media in UNDP

Further to UNDP’s use of social media channels to reach audiences, to be in dialogue with audiences, monitor the tweets done by journalists etc, UNDP’s staff members also take advantage of social media both in their professional and personal life.

In UNDP’s Draft Social Media Guidelines it is stated that, as UNDP is strengthening its role of becoming a world-class knowledge based organization UNDP encourages staff to actively engage in blogging, posting comments and generally participate in online conversations, as long as these activities are not against the interest of UNDP and the staff members observe the standards of
behavior required of international civil servants. As such, all participation in social media activities outside and at work is subject to these standards.

The widespread and global use of social networking sites like Twitter, Linkedin and Facebook presents many opportunities for a decentralized organization like UNDP with presences in 177 countries and territories, but also some challenges. While UNDP encourages staff around the world to use these tools in staff's personal as well as professional capacity, UNDP also strives to ensure the security of its staff by providing guidance e.g. on how to use social media in crisis situations. In addition to that, UNDP's Office of Communication provides trainings, guidelines, an internal Wiki and best practice examples to staff worldwide to ensure coordinated and coherent messaging around UNDP's core issues on social networking platforms.

In the future UNDP will also increase our blogging to tell more stories about our work in the field to show the human side of development.

So, UNDP welcomes and recognizes the benefits of social media tools while at the same time acknowledging associated risks and challenges.

**Development 2.0 - using social media to achieve development goals**

This article has given examples of how and why UNDP uses social media with regards to external communication. But apart from that, UNDP is also using social media to achieve objectives in our key focus areas: Democratic Governance, Poverty Reduction, Crisis Prevention and Recovery, Energy and Environment and HIV/AIDS.

WaterWiki.net is one example of how to use social media in UNDP's work with Energy and Environment. WaterWiki.net is a wiki for water professionals worldwide. It provides water and sanitation related materials, knowledge and experience from UN practitioners, agencies and their programs around the globe. And it allows the user to contribute with her own knowledge and experience. In Bangladesh mobile phones are used for early warnings, in Kenya SMS alerts are used to support a peaceful referendum, and in Rwanda emergency health services are provided with a toll-free phone number. How UNDP will expand the use of
social media for anticorruption in for example the former Soviet block, for crisis prevention and recovery in vulnerable states for poverty reduction in specific societies, and in more specific thematic areas of UNDP’s portfolio are challenges in the pipeline.
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Birgitte Jallov

The Ørecomm festival 2011 had ‘Agency in the mediatized world’ and ‘Media, Communication and Development in Transition’ as the overriding themes saying: “While it is easy to be mesmerized by the role of the new media in the processes of social change, we should be aware that the motivating force behind the upheavals is dissatisfaction and frustration over the underlying realities of poverty, unemployment and subdued human rights. The development challenges, the exclusion of many people from development processes lie at the heart of what is happening in the world right now.”

Social media have been important tools in spurring radical change in the socio-political profile of many of the countries in North Africa and the Middle East over the past year. Keeping in touch and organising, voices rarely or never before heard in public, have furthermore come through to the anxiously listening and watching world directly via YouTube, Facebook, or Twitter, from where the messages have been amplified through the international news services including Aljazeera, BBC World and CCN. Empowerment has swept through towns, regions and countries, and technologies to block access to these services have been developed and worried governments eagerly attempted to have them implemented.

This article addresses the issue of how to most effectively achieve the social change and empowerment required for communities – and individuals in them – to

¹ This article is based on chapter 12 in Birgitte Jallov’s book: ‘EMPOWERMENT RADIO – Voices building a community’, launched on the WORLD RADIO DAY February 13, 2012 (birgitte.jallov@mail.dk for more)
counter the ‘poverty, unemployment and subdued human rights’ mentioned above. Conferences and other international high level sessions have over the past decade pointed to community radio as a possible answer to ‘the missing link’ between development needs and investments geared to meet those. Social media have proven to support the 2011 ‘Arab Spring’ importantly. What is the relation between the two? Will social media replace the role of community radio?

_Egypt social media and Philippines community radio stations_²

It was hugely important yesterday that Secretary of State Clinton told the Mubarak government not to "block communications, including on social media." Non-government-non-corporate-controlled Internet is similar to the protections for community radio stations, a fight that is ongoing here in the US. I'm reminded of the role that community radio stations played in the 1980's overthrow of the Marcos regime in the Philippines. Marcos recognized the importance of community radio and began to bomb many of the Catholic Church's radio stations. He was unable to get to all of them and it was through these small radio stations that the streets were organized towards the successful overthrow of Marcos. The bombs that governments use today to stop grassroots Internet communications are called "DPI," Deep Packet Inspection." Built by NARUS, it is a type of web filtering system that allows governments to monitor where all emails, web posts and phone calls come from -- what is being said -- and who is listening. It can also be used to shut down traffic at the main routers or servers, people use to connect to the internet – a so-called kill switch.

²This blog was posted By Adan Medrano on January 29, 2011: http://www.adansblog.com/wlog/2011/01/
While community radio studios have become smaller and the equipment leaner – a studio can in principle be carried in a computer bag – communication opportunities through the internet and mobile phones are rapidly increasing. What is the impact on community radio? And what is the impact on the kind of empowerment radio, where communities take their destiny in their own hands through empowerment generated through work in and around a community owned ‘empowerment radio’?

**The radio as an apparatus of communication**

‘Radio is one-sided when it should be two-sided. It is purely an apparatus for distribution, for mere sharing out. So here is a positive suggestion: change this apparatus over from distribution to communication. The radio would be the finest possible communication apparatus in public life, a vast network of pipes. That is to say, it would be if it knew how to receive as well as to transmit, how to let the listener speak as well as hear, how to bring him into a relationship instead of isolating him. On this principle the radio should step out of the supply business and organise its listeners as suppliers.’

In 1932, Bertolt Brecht was already questioning the potential of radio, requesting ‘the apparatus’ be turned from a source of distribution to one of communication, where the listener also becomes a supplier of information. Brecht, as Paulo Freire did almost forty years later, underscored dialogue and informed interaction as the basis for change.

This dialogical nature is what the close-knit geographic communities around a community radio station create in thematic editorial groups, in listening clubs, and in the different groups of community action spurred by the work in and around the radio. The dialogical exchanges for change is what communities of interest generate via the social media, where access is available and affordable.

---

3 For much more on this concept and understanding see: Jallo, Birgitte: EMPOWERMENT RADIO –Voices building a community. EMPOWERHOUSE 2012 [www.empowerhouse.dk and birgitte.jallo@mail.dk]
Access to high speed internet and connected smartphones is, however, still not common among the marginalised and vulnerable communities. It is still logical, therefore, to focus on empowerment radio in communities in developing countries, where literacy is often not far above fifty per cent, where broadband connectivity has not yet reached that last mile (or more), and where the price of working online or using a mobile phone to connect is beyond the financial means of the ordinary citizen.

Still it is important to look at the many new technological opportunities available, which are relevant for community radio for development. New technologies make features possible that are already used in many situations, and offer others that can inspire the future. With ‘networked communication’ as an overriding category for all of these new opportunities, it is likely that the face and shape of facilities and opportunities now available via the internet and known as ‘social media’ will continue to change. New services and opportunities will be added. The important characteristic here is the continued expansion of opportunities for networking.

Social media include, at the time of writing this article: Blogs, Twitter, Facebook, Youtube, and photosharing tools. As our media environments continue to converge, many community radio stations already operate in circumstances where some or all of the above are integrated into the life of the radio station. The mandate and capacity of community radio stations, coupled with their technical equipment, including internet access, provides them with a powerful role in furthering their community’s and their members’ needs as well as strengthening their own services, network and outreach.

**Community radio, the mobile phone and the internet**

Within the existing community radio framework, most stations operate on a technical platform based on a hybrid of analogue cassette recording mixed with digital recording, editing and transmission equipment. Many radio stations also make use of mobile phones and internet access at the station.
Mobile phone as a live, ‘outside broadcasting’ device

Many community radio stations use mobile phones as an easy and effective tool for live transmission, including interviews and live reporting. While the technical quality has to be worked with and external, unrelated noises limited, it is a powerful tool to bring the outside world into the studio. In many developing areas, mobile phone companies even provide free subscriptions to community radio stations for the use of the mentioned services. In exchange the radio stations carry an agreed amount of consumer information from the mobile phone company as well as advertising within the framework possible at the station.

Mobile phone as a connection from community to station

Mobile phones are a powerful tool to enable large segments of the community to contact the radio station. Using (mobile) phones, listeners can contribute to programmes, request specific issues raised, and the station may offer, for example, a service of information on prices on agricultural products in nearby markets (if no national services are already doing this). It must, however, be recognised that it is often found that especially many women may not have, or may not want to use, credit for these types of phone calls.

During election periods simple reporting by community radio stations via mobile phones from all polling stations has also been used in an attempt to curb the creativity often exercised when the ballot boxes move from the district to the capital city!

Listening to the radio on the mobile phone

While access to radio sets is rarely a real problem, it can also be tempting to use the mobile phone as a listening device. This is often technically possible but has important pitfalls. Listening may be possible via a Wi-fi connection to the Internet directly or through the mobile operator's network. When using an older or simpler phone, which does not support Wi-fi, it will be necessary to have a working internet connection from the phone to the mobile phone operator's network. Many operators offer to configure the phone automatically.

Virtual Radio provides an easy and very light and flexible radio listening solution. However, access to the operator's network will create a lot of download traffic, and unless the user has a ‘flat rate’ payment plan, the unlimited download of sound
can become very expensive very quickly. It is important to check this with the operator. With the Wi-fi capabilities of modern phones it is possible to connect directly to the Internet (WLAN) and avoid all operator's costs. Here it is important to ensure that the connection is to a free wireless service.

Apart from this online access many mobile phone producers have developed a separate FM radio access within mobile phones, working as any, ordinary FM radio receiver. This, of course, uses battery, but is otherwise a free service.

Radio browsing via the Internet

‘Radio browsing’ consists of radio presenters gathering information on the internet (or on CD-ROMs and other digital sources) in response to listeners’ needs and queries. This can for example be done in advance or during a ‘call-in’ programme, where the presenter ‘visits’ these pages of information on the computer screen together with a local expert. For example, with a doctor for a health programme and together with the presenter they will describe, explain and discuss the information, and answer the listeners directly in the languages used by the community.

Radio browsing is being used in many community radios in Asia, Africa and the Caribbean. It has demonstrated radio’s potential for overcoming language (as well as technical and financial) barriers to access by finding, discussing, selecting and assimilating information available in a limited number of languages on the Internet. Issues of literacy, which naturally limits use and access to the internet is minimised through Radio Browsing. Moreover, ‘Radio Browsing’ in the form developed in collaboration with UNESCO in the early 2000s as a participatory radio programme, has taken into account the desires of rural communities to acquire knowledge collectively as opposed to the prevailing modality of individual access to the Internet.

Building a community database – supporting community innovation and change

With an internet connection at the community radio station, and a commitment to support development in the community at large, some community stations have built up community databases. These utilise the community’s collective capacity to

http://portal.unesco.org/ci/en/ev.php-
URL_ID=5590&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html
produce knowledge and to package and disseminate it in an appropriate manner, which meets the immediate needs and priorities of the community. Facilitating contact and synergy between community development needs and existing businesses or other productive activity in the community is a way to match the community radio station’s convening power with its community development mandate.

When such a community database is in place, the content, the encouragement to become more entrepreneurial, innovative and so on, could also be furthered through the radio browsing programmes. This educates the community about the importance of online information and lets them know that it remains available for them to consult at the station. By developing a community database, the station ensures that the whole community can access a pool of easily-acquired knowledge in a language which is understandable.

The radio as the message centre
Community radio is used everywhere as an important ‘community megaphone’, replacing the community caller, talking drums or other traditional communication forms. Its messages reach the whole community instantly. Furthermore community radio stations are used as ‘message centres’ in a number of creative and innovative ways. In some places the community radio station is simply ‘our connection point’ where messages are physically left and later picked up by the intended receiver. In other cases, the station’s e-mail and internet system is used to send and receive messages from far away. This latter type of ‘message centre’ or ‘community message service’ is used by businesses and individuals without their own access to the internet.

Also during natural disasters or other emergencies community radio stations are used as service providers, sometimes in collaboration with social media, as the below story from Brazil tells:

**Radio and social media help inform Brazil during deadly floods**

The community station Friburgo FM is helping the citizens of Nova Friburgo find people missing in the Rio de Janeiro floods that have killed more than

---

7 Posted by Maira Magro in an internet debate on community and social media January 17, 2011
600, Folha de S. Paulo reports. The radio station takes calls from its listeners, shares updated lists of the deceased, and gives tips on avoiding infections in the aftermath. It also has correspondents in the streets reporting via phone. Social media have also taken on an important role in circulating news throughout the flood-affected region, as they have done in other recent disasters. G1\(^8\) reports that live video on Twitter helped residents find up-to-date information through an ad-hoc news center to help users answer each other’s questions about the flood, which is said to reach around 1,000 viewers at a time. “The information that is on TV and in the newspapers is very generic. There are many people wanting to know what is going on in a specific place or a specific person, and we’re trying to help”.

**Access to a national help desk via the internet**

Being connected to the internet has a number of possible positive features beyond programme and content production. In some countries the community radio networks have created a help-desk, which can be accessed through the internet. Instant support is available on many issues including technical diagnostics of problems, advice on management or other day-to-day problems at the station, information on international and national news as well as the general sharing of information. Apart from providing information and support to the individual station, a positive side-effect of such a service is that all of the other stations subscribing to the list will receive the same advice.

**Centre for Assistance and Information for Community Communicators\(^9\)**

The Informatics Centre of the Eduardo Mondlane University in Mozambique (CIUEM) was active in the support to creating and strengthening of telecentres in Mozambique since the 90s. Matching telecentres with community radio from the early 2000s, the need for a help desk and a portal for access to needed information was obvious. CAICC was the answer and has since 2006 worked with the objective of supporting the expansion, consolidation and sustainability of community information and communication technologies (ICT) initiatives in Mozambique by ensuring as

---

\(^8\)G1 is the Brazilian ‘O Globo network’.

\(^9\)For further information see: http://www.caicc.org.mz
much ICT support as possible for local development initiatives. The remote support via the CAICC help desk is supplemented by training courses and an online news service. Via the e-mail based CAICC list, many e-mails are everyday exchanged between the telecentres, Community Multimedia Centres (CMCs) and community radios on all the issues of importance to such community development entities.

**Community radios converging with ICTs**

During the late 90s and early 00s, when convergence of the media was discussed intensely, and community radios and telecentres were mushrooming all over Africa, Asia and the Caribbean; ‘community multimedia centres’ were among the hybrids created. In many community radio stations the need for internet access for the station and its programming, as well as to provide a community service, was felt. At the same time, telecentres wanted to find ways and means to effectively disseminate the information and documentation they had gathered to the community, with the purpose of public education and the promotion of overall development.

One of many initiatives to merge the two phenomena was carried out by UNESCO, generating what was called ‘Community Multimedia Centres’. They were defined by UNESCO as follows:

**Community Multimedia Centres (CMC)**

‘A CMC is a centre that combines community radio by local people in local languages with facilities such as computers with internet access and e-mail, phone, fax and photocopying services. A basic CMC would offer the simplest portable radio station, plus a single computer for internet browsing wherever possible, e-mail and basic office, library and learning applications. At its most developed, the CMC is a major infrastructure, offering a full range of multimedia facilities including internet access, functioning as a distance learning, training and informal education centre and much more. UNESCO gives high priority to the development of local CMCs to promote community
empowerment and address the information and knowledge divides, for example in rural areas.  

A 2010 initiative by United Nations’ Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA) to transform Africa’s telecentres into tele-innovation centres, includes in a pilot process in Ghana to add community radios to the tele-innovation centres. In this way the centre’s reach is extended from the centre to the whole community, which benefits directly from the innovation initiatives launched. UNECA defines the tele-innovation centres as follows:

**About the UNECA Tele-innovation Centre concept:**

“The main objective …is to transform telecentres from being mere ICT access points to centres that have the potential to generate, create, acquire as well as utilise knowledge to develop products and services that have the potential to contribute significantly to peoples’ living standards… a majority of the current deployed …treat [the community] simply as a passive recipient and not as a possible innovator. … ICTs should act as a driver of sustainable socio-economic development and transform the livelihoods of the citizenry. This will require a change in how telecentres are designed, managed, operated and interact with other knowledge centres in the community and its clientele. Next generation telecentres will… provide amongst other services, small business support to low income areas, agricultural areas, access to e-government and e-health services, community based multi-media training and production opportunities.”

**Community radio and social media**

Moving into social media requires, by definition, an environment or a community, where all have access to interact on the internet. Social media are multi-directional. As participants in social media, all are senders and receivers at the same time. Using this new way of communication requires literacy and connectivity. It also requires access to equipment and funds for connection time.

11 Unpublished concept note by United Nations’ Economic Commission for Africa (UNECA) 2010
Immediate and strong inter-linkage of a community radio station’s work with social media is therefore still unrealistic in many of the developing contexts in dire need. But as mentioned earlier: developments are happening with such a speed and technological transformations are taking place so rapidly, that anything may be possible tomorrow.

A European blogger wrote early 2011 among others on this issue:

‘Radio is just one form of digital content and community stations need to make the most of their content. So how do we do this? Welcome to the floor……..social media. Social media is all about conversation and community, people communicating and sharing information and personal experiences on-line, rather than simply consuming information. Anyone can contribute whether it’s through comments, photos, audio or video responses. And contributions are good. Contribution equals engagement. Engagement equals attention and more importantly funding. For stations it can take real fans behind the scenes and enhance their loyalty, for programme makers, what better way to keep listeners hooked between programmes?’

In this context, the participatory community development and empowerment perspective could, naturally, be further enhanced by adding social media to the communication work of the community radio station. But what kind of media, now, at the time of writing this article, constitutes social media?

**Broadcast radio is changing**

Technological development continues to change the framework for radio broadcasting. The increasing potential of diversity of services and platforms include web-streaming, pod-casting and a variety of digital radio platforms. At the same time radio consumption is changing in countries and situations with ease of online access. Radio is no longer a one-way, linear medium and it cannot only be listened to when the programme is being aired. It is possible to access most programmes from radio stations with an online presence at any time, and research
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12 http://www.communityradiotoolk.net/the-feature/social-media/introducing-you-to-social-media/
13 Inspiration for this section is derived from Lawrie Hallett’s chapter (28): "New Media Community Radio: How the Internet and other "New Technologies" Influence Community Broadcasting in the U.K. (forthcoming publication)
has documented that the younger the audience, the more ‘radio programmes’ are being listened to at times other than when they appear in the station’s programme.

This non-linear on-demand listening puts radio programmes of any station in the world on the same footing as any other content digitally available on the web, changing a number of the core issues at stake – also when this is only a future vision for many.

With the added services possible in the online world, increasing exchange with listeners is possible in many different ways. These include comments directly to the relevant website, sending regular e-mails, taking part in online debates on issues posted by the radio, etc. It is, as often said, now possible for broadcasters and community programme producers to interact with their audience 24/7 through the many different social media and platforms available.

The new technological possibilities make it possible for the audience to provide regular feedback to producers, who can make use of these opportunities to strengthen links with the community but also facilitates different types of regular audience assessment of programmes, formats, issues, styles, etc.

**Community radio’s digital dilemma**

'It was suddenly possible to say everything to everybody, but thinking about it, there was nothing to say.' Following the earlier cited activist and optimistic quote by Brecht, four years later he had given up: why improve a broadcast medium, when there is no content anyway?

When looking at a significant proportion of radio stations, across the spectrum, many are indeed short of anything important to say. Commercial stations producing to reach the lowest common denominator with easy listening and a desire to be a pleasant companion for as many listeners as possible, totally violate Brecht’s visionary aspirations for the medium; and with Brecht that of many others. By contrast, community-anchored, empowerment-focused radio remains a

---

14 ‘Radio as a Means of Communication’, A Talk on the Function of Radio, by Bertolt Brecht 1936
powerful tool for the communities and realities\textsuperscript{15}. Social media in their ever-developing forms and formats are potent complementary supplements, but never a replacement for well-functioning community radio for development and empowerment.

In the search for democratic, diverse, independent media – and with it, radio - with access and voice for all, the digital opportunities in principle come across as immensely liberating due to their scale, open access and flexibility. But important risks and challenges may be encountered including cost (the more listeners, the more expensive for the digital broadcaster, having to pay for all the traffic to the site) and independence, which can easily be challenged.

The question remains: Will digital broadcasting, when eventually a real option, really democratise and open up opportunities for access and voice? Will the community-based listener vanish into the wonders of Digital Audio Broadcasting (DAB) and never return? Based on the discussions and cases and experiences presented, it seems unlikely. ‘Content remains king’, and the community stations' so-called ‘Unique Selling Point’ (USP) is its stories, close to the heart of the people of the community – and the urge to take part in the debate, monitor the authorities’ spending of public funds, and celebrate the local language, music and other culture.

The future is a mix of multiple platforms. In areas with effective access to the internet, many community stations already work with traditional radio broadcasting being listened to as it is aired, coupled with possibilities for non-linear listening. Such a hybrid also facilitates interaction with 'distant' community elements – both those in the neighbouring community and the far-away diaspora. In the meantime, however, the traditional broadcast is still the most effective locally, it is still the most accessible platform and it is still the most universal in terms of availability to all.

\textsuperscript{15} For much more on this concept and understanding see: Jallov, Birgitte: EMPOWERMENT RADIO – Voices building a community. EMPOWERHOUSE 2012 (www.empowerhouse.dk and birgitte.jallov@mail.dk)
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The increasing influence of information and communication technologies (ICTs) – old and new- in almost of facets of our lives and their role in accelerating globalization and other economic, social and cultural changes is widely acknowledged in the literature. New information and communication technologies create new possibilities that include an array of aspects such as the development of tools to produce content and services that may generate income and employment, new forms of interaction and communication, and increased engagement and participation in the public sphere perhaps not seen ever before.

Millions of youth and adolescents across the globe have embraced ICTs, particularly mobile telephony and social media. It is estimated that there are nearly 2 billion youth and adolescents in the world (UNFPA, 2011). Of those, 1.2 billion are adolescents, with 23% of them in Africa, 19% in Latin America and Caribbean, and 18% in Asia. And for those youth and adolescents who have access, social media is an important part of their daily lives. In an article endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatrics, O’Keefe and Clarke-Pearson (2011) stated that 22% of teenagers in the U.S.A. log on to a social media site about ten times a day, and at least 50% of teenagers log on at least once a day. Globally, the most recent example of the role of social media in the lives of youth was illustrated by the 2011 Arab spring in which youth participated very actively in public demonstrations (Hatem Ali, 2011).

This paper discusses the role of social media in communication for development, with a focus on youth. Communication for development is an established field that focuses on the role of communication processes and tools to facilitate social and behavior change through engagement and participation of individuals and communities. Information and communication technologies are at the heart of communication for development interventions today, and have unlocked unprecedented opportunities for engagement and participation. However, are these opportunities equally available to all youth?
This paper uses an equity perspective by arguing that while we must tap into the opportunities that social media offer, we also must call the attention of policy makers and development organizations on the need to ensure that those opportunities be available to the majority of youth, particularly those who are often unreached by development interventions due to various social and economic inequities. International development organisations and scholars have called for an increasing emphasis on closing the equity gap and reaching the most marginalized and disadvantaged, as a critical step to make greater progress in efforts to achieve the millennium development goals (Vandemoortele, 2011; Unicef, 2010). This paper is by no means an exhaustive analysis or review of how ICTs and social media have been used in communication for development programs. Rather, it is written as a reflection piece that calls for greater attention to equity issues in the use of social media and ICTs.

The paper is divided into four sections. First, it briefly discusses key shifts in the field of communication for development, followed by an overview of how information and communication technologies, including social media, have created new opportunities to advance communication for development's focus on engagement and empowerment of individuals and communities. This is followed by a brief discussion of key conceptual premises on the intersection of social media and youth, including references to and examples of how social media have contributed to greater engagement of youth in international development programs. The paper concludes with recommendations for an increasing and explicit equity focus in efforts aimed at facilitating greater participation of youth through social media.

**Communication for Development (C4D), Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs), and Social Media**

The conceptual and programmatic shifts in the C4D field have been discussed widely in the academic literature (Rodriguez, 2011; Servaes, 2008; Melkote and Steeves, 2001; Waisbord, 2001). From an information-driven focus and vertical communication approaches that dominated C4D efforts in the 1960s and 70s, the field shifted toward a more inclusive, two-way, and participatory communication processes that seek to facilitate engagement of individuals and communities in development programs (Tufte and Hemer, 2005; Waisbord, 2001; Gray-Felder and
Deane, 1999). These scholars also highlighted that, in addition to a focus on short term, measurable outcomes, communication for development theory, research and practice also embraced the ideas of the Brazilian educator Paulo Freire, and later Amartya Sen’s, to bring an increasing emphasis on participation, voice, agency and empowerment as equally important outcomes that might lead to more profound and long-term development and social change processes.

Melkote and Steeves (2001) have stated that “the development enterprise in the Third World did not fit well the implicit assumptions in the dominant paradigm of development”. In essence, Melkote and Steeves argue that development programs tended to privilege population groups with better education levels and access to media, worked off the assumption that all technologies bring equal benefits to the majority of the population, focused on the transfer of technology that worked well in other contexts, and paid limited attention to power dynamics, and to social, political, and cultural contexts, amongst several factors. These issues contributed to the failure of a model that still persists in some areas of international development work. In this model, widely known as the dominant paradigm of communication for development, communication was primarily viewed as a tool that enabled dissemination of information to bridge knowledge gaps, and contributed toward the modernization of developing nations. The vertical communication premises embedded in this model were strongly questioned by communication researchers, especially in Latin America (Diaz Bordenave, 2006; Beltran, 2006). Weaknesses of this model also were acknowledged by leading researchers of the dominant paradigm of communication for development such as Everett Rogers (1976).

In response to this communication model emerged an alternative approach that focused on the active role of multiple social actors who converge and participate in political and social spheres, and whose participation and engagement in dialogue and public debate can contribute to more profound and long-term transformations for the majority of the population (Deane and Gray-Felder, 1999; Waisbord, 2001). This communication model emphasizes the capacity of social actors to develop their own discourses, create, share and negotiate meaning, and participate in dialogic processes that emphasize the realization of human rights (Rodriguez, 2011; Cadavid, 2011). While significant progress has been made, participatory approaches do not necessarily drive all development efforts. However, the advent of information and communication technologies, particularly
social media, has, exponentially, augmented opportunities for people’s engagement in development-related issues.

The field of communication for development is no longer media-centric. C4D approaches have moved toward an amalgamation of communication processes and practices mediated by multiple communication channels and tools that transcend mass media, and embrace communication dynamics mediated by popular and local and, more recently, virtual communication channels (Unicef, 2009). As Nancy Morris (2003) has pointed out, the C4D field has been characterized by perspectives that tend to complement each other, leading to conceptual and methodological convergence. These perspectives view the role of communication in line either with 1) prescriptive and normative approaches that often emphasize individual behavior change as the ultimate goal of C4D programs, and 2) participatory and open-ended approaches that focus on community empowerment and engagement as the main objective for sustained change and development. While social media is a powerful tool for work under either perspective, the latter perspective provides greater possibilities for people-driven development.

Information and communication technologies and the multimedia platforms that can be created with them have brought renewed attention to the role of ICTs in efforts to accelerate international development. The explosion of new information and communication technologies has created unlimited spaces for participation, generation of alternative discourses, new forms of community ownership of communication tools and channels, and growing possibilities for greater engagement in political and cultural spaces, which in the past were beyond the reach of the majority (Shirky, 2011). For instance, small non-governmental organizations today may have, in some cases, the potential to reach the very same audiences and publics that large organizations or the state are able to reach.

Granqvist (2005) has stated that access to information and the growing circulation of information through multiple platforms has transformed the lives of people around the world. The use of ICTs has created a new information society in which people are increasingly utilizing convergent communication technologies such as Internet, mobile telephony, and other digital resources that facilitate the exchange of information, data, images, etc., creating greater possibilities for interaction and knowledge. Other noted scholars also have discussed both the
power and limitations of social media. On the power of social media, for instance, Clay Shirky has argued that:

“As the communications landscape gets denser, more complex, and more participatory, the networked population is gaining greater access to information, more opportunities to engage in public speech, and an enhanced ability to undertake collective action” (p.2).

Shirky’s statement reflects many of the premises that inform participatory perspectives in the communication for development field, which have been enhanced by the increasing networking that social media offer. Shirky, however, also introduces a word of caution by presenting a critique of social media that must be taken into account as we continue to focus on their role in international development.

First, Shirky discusses the potential ineffectiveness of social media. While access to and use of social media creates opportunities for engagement and participation, the reality is that in most cases such engagement and participation does not necessarily lead to collective action, or, in many cases, attempts to mobilize through social media do not yield the desired results. In other words, the power of social media to mobilize is contingent upon a number of enabling and timely contextual, social and political factors. Shirky also guards against the duality of social media in terms of their potential to do both harm and good. O’Keefe and Clarke-Pearson (2011), and Sharples et. al. (2009) report that social media also have created opportunities for cyber-bullying, online harassment, and other issues that may be harmful to children and youth. Raftree and Alley (2011), for instance, report that while Facebook was instrumental in facilitating participation of adolescents in the Arab spring, it also was later used to identify who had supported the demonstrations.

Social media and youth

Mayfield (2008) defines social media as a group of new kinds of online media that share most or all of several characteristics: participation, openness, community, conversations, and connectedness (p.4). The similarity between these characteristics and communication for development’s participatory perspectives are striking. Some of the more common social media include social networks (e.g,
Facebook), blogs, content communities (e.g. YouTube), podcasts, microblogging (e.g., Twitter), and forums. Given the rapid changes in ICTs it is expected that new forms of social media will emerge in the next few years, with an increasing tendency toward convergence and platform sharing. Beyond these basic concepts, what is clear is that social media will continue to evolve and to provide greater opportunities for engagement and participation of individuals and communities.

A 2010 report by the Pew Research Center revealed that Internet, and particularly social media, have become an indispensable aspect of the daily lives of youth in the U.S.A. Use of social media by teenagers with internet access has increased steadily over the years. About 95% of 14-17 year-old boys and girls go online, compared with 88% of teens ages 12-13, and nearly 73% of teens use social networking sites. Facebook is the most commonly used online social network by teens, while Twitter is used by a much smaller percentage of teens (10% or less for each age group).

This is a global trend. According to the website newmediatrendwatch.com, the number of social network users will reach 1.5 billion by the end of 2012, and nearly 2 billion by 2014 (http://www.newmediatrendwatch.com/world-overview/137-social-networking-and-ugc). While social network users are found in every nation of the world, the majority of users are in the U.S.A., China, and Brazil, while in India the number of users is growing rapidly. The importance of social media in the lives of millions and millions of people around the world, particularly youth, is unquestionable. What is more important, however, is that in poorer countries access to and use of social networking sites still remains low compared to the more developed countries. According to newmediatrendwtch.com, Out of the estimated 830 million Facebook users worldwide, only 3.6% are in Africa.

According to Gumucio-Dagron (2003), in the last two decades the development of programs supported by new technological tools such as the Internet has grown at a rapid pace amongst cooperation agencies, which have embraced these technologies in order to contribute to greater equity in access to information and communication. Gumucio-Dagron adds that the commercial (cybercafés) and social (Telecenters, community centers, public kiosks and cabins, etc.) models have democratized the access to and use of new technologies and therefore local participation in public debate and discourse, and empowerment of individuals
and communities (Hatem Ali, 2011). This also includes the participation of women in social mobilization for human rights, fair trade, alternative development, and broader social issues that determine the wellbeing of their families and children.

Youth have fully embraced new technologies perhaps more than any other population segment, and particularly social media. Current literature suggests that youth use social media for various purposes, which range from information exchange, information seeking, and networking, to more socially-driven and agency-related issues. Rosalia Winocour (2009), for instance, found that Mexican youth have used social media as a way to resist social exclusion, create new symbolic spaces, and reinvent and establish new relationship dynamics, which are the result of a process of re-appropriation and use of the technology.

A review of the role of ICTs in empowering adolescent girls found that social media have contributed to creating new and safe spaces for adolescent girls to communicate with other girls, exchange information, and develop a sense of empowerment derived from their ability to participate in the public sphere (Bachan and Raftree, 2011). Without the possibilities that social media and other ICTs have made available, millions of girls and young women would be unable to engage with other girls and women, or participate in the public sphere.

**An equity perspective**

In 2010 the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) released a report titled Narrowing the Gaps to Meet the Goals, which called for a refocus on equity as a key step to advance toward the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). The report concluded that “the gains made towards realizing the MDGs are largely based on improvements in national averages. A growing concern, however, is that progress based on national averaging can conceal broad and even widening disparities in poverty and children’s development among regions and within countries” (p.1). While this finding is consistent with previous assessments of the limitations of national averages, the report underscored the need to reach the hardest to reach and more vulnerable: “Seldom do the poorest children, often living in remote areas or urban slums and disproportionately from
ethnic minorities, enjoy the same level of access to basic health care, education and protection as the richest”, (p.1).

UNICEF defines equity as ensuring “that all children have an opportunity to survive, develop, and reach their full potential, without discrimination, bias, or favoritism” (UNICEF, 2012). This definition is consistent with the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), which is the first international instrument to incorporate a broad range of human rights - participation, health, protection, amongst others - set out to ensure that children realize their rights.

Worldwide, the majority of out-of-school children continue to be girls (53%), thus achieving gender parity would mean 3.6 million more girls in primary school. Also, about 90% of children with disabilities in developing countries do not attend school. An equity perspective will seek to ensure that members of the most disadvantaged groups are reached by development programs.

An equity perspective focuses on avoiding unfair circumstances and working toward access to same resources. This requirement applies to all types of social resources, including information and communication technologies and social media. Yet, Galperin and Mariscal (2007) state that there is a need to explicitly use approaches that minimize social exclusion and reduce inequities, in which information should be managed not only as a source of knowledge and information but also as a source that contributes to greater freedom at political, economic, social and cultural level. Thus, “access to information and communication are essential conditions for development, as they impact each aspect of our lives” (Galperin & Mariscal, 2007, p. 16).

Granqvist (2005) argues for a critical perspective when evaluating and analyzing implementation efforts of ICTs in developing regions. He states that there is a dominant perspective in how ICTs are introduced, which neglects social aspects associated with possible exclusion that ICTs might generate. The dominant discourses on ICTs, Granqvist adds, challenge the existing digital gap or divide, present it as an opportunity to integrate the South in the era of information. Such view privileges dissemination of and access to information, and the use of technological convergence platforms as key elements for economic development, above structural limitations that might accentuate the digital gap and existing inequities in access and use of ICTs.
Manuel Castells (2009; 1996) also has provided compelling arguments about the risks associated with inequities in access to and use of information and communication technologies. Castells has discussed widely the notion of social exclusion and the digital gap, which cautions against the perils of increasingly marginalizing population groups that are unable to benefit from the advantages that digital technologies offer to other members of society. This type of exclusion, that affects those who are already vulnerable due to various socio-economic reasons, is also discussed, specifically, in the context of social media. Verdegem (2011) has argued that:

“Social media are currently presented with a double face: it can possibly create new digital divides widening the gap created by digital and social exclusion for already vulnerable groups and people. Simultaneously it can also be an enabler of self-organization and self-help processes started by, or involving, socially-excluded people that transform weak ties created across the online and offline worlds into effective collective structures of engagement and participation.” (p. 33).

Numerous initiatives that draw upon the proven potential of social media are underway around the world, supported and implemented by large and small organizations, and government and civil society organizations. By way of illustration, UNICEF\textsuperscript{1}, in the East Asia-Pacific region, has undertaken social media-driven work to engage adolescent girls (Jensen 2011). UNICEF Pacific’s page on Facebook was established in September 2009. Until late 2010 this social network site was used primarily to share information and content with adolescents, especially from UNICEF websites and Pacific media. In late 2010 UNICEF Pacific gave adolescents greater space to guide the direction of the Facebook page, which led to greater engagement and to the development of adolescents-led initiatives that included a poster competition and a climate change game, amongst others.

Based on this experience, Jensen reports that social media, under the leadership of adolescent girls, can contribute to their empowerment on topics relevant and important to their own development. This experience also suggests that

\textsuperscript{1}UNICEF implements a variety of ICT and social-media driven communication for development interventions. These include the use of Facebook pages, Twitter, YouTube, and SMS, amongst others. Moreover, many of these interventions (e.g., U-Report in Uganda) use a mix of broadcast, mobile and Internet-based technologies.
engagement of adolescents and youth can lead to a greater sense of ownership, to the development of own discourses and meanings, and to meaningful and self-generated information. Furthermore, it also exemplifies the importance of trusting young participants’ abilities to lead and deliver. However, Jensen also points out that 9 in 10 adolescent girls in the Pacific do not have access to social media and therefore are unable to take full advantage of the wealth of knowledge and opportunities the Internet can bring to them. This fact highlights the need for an equity perspective in these initiatives in order to avoid exclusion of a segment of the population from these opportunities.

Thus, while increasing numbers of youth will continue to engage and participate in public life through the use of social media, the critical question is who remains excluded from participating and engaging in those interactions. The extent to which adolescents and youth in the more vulnerable segments of society are able to use social media and have the opportunity to fully participate in society will be critical to ensuring progress across all areas of development. Minority populations, people with disability, and indigenous populations, amongst others, whose opportunities are further limited by other socio-economic, cultural or geographical limitations, are more vulnerable to a host of negative issues. Lack of equitable access to and use of social media and other ICTs will exacerbate their vulnerability and exclusion.

**Implications and conclusions**

This paper builds on the participatory focus on communication for development, and the need to bring an equity perspective to our work with youth and information and communication technologies, including social media. An equity perspective in this area of work can further tap into the great potential of ICTs in order to facilitate access and participation of youth groups whose engagement in development issues might have been neglected due to socio-economic, gender, and/or ethnic disparities, amongst others. While the concept of equity has been increasingly embraced in international development, similar views with regards to ICTs are not yet widely shared.

Based on our analysis, we would like to highlight three important implications for further work in this area. First, policy makers, researchers and practitioners need to consider the implementation of joint and complementary efforts that seek to
reach the most excluded and neglected. Social media and other ICTs also can be a critical component to addressing basic needs, but also to facilitating engagement and participation for longer term and sustained change. However, the implementation of programs that explicitly aim at reaching the most marginalized must be an essential component of equity-driven programs, and by extension more inclusive development efforts. Arguably, such programs may be more costly or require a more comprehensive set of investments, but they are clearly essential for equitable and sustainable development.

Second, this analysis points to the need for comprehensive approaches. At a panel titled “Communication and Human Development: The Freedom Connection?”, held at Harvard University in 2009, which included Nobel prize winner Amartya Sen, panelists concluded that certain critical requirements must be met to ensure the reduction of the digital gap and reverse negative effects in human development. According to the panelists these requirements include:

1. flexible regulation that allows the majority to access new technologies;
2. appropriate technology available to the majority;
3. local capacity to take advantage of the potential of new technologies;
4. markets that acknowledge the local reality;
5. innovation to generate new possibilities for access and use;
6. access of women to new technologies given their historical marginalization, and
7. investment that can support those efforts.

Clearly, these recommendations suggest the need for comprehensive and integrated approaches in order to ensure that more vulnerable groups can greatly benefit from access to and use of ICTs, including social media.

Third, while we have focused primarily on the potential of ICTs and social media, another important implication is the need to remain mindful of the risks that ICTs and social media bring as well. The development of digital safety programs, and other measures aimed at protecting children, adolescents and youth from those risks is critical. Interventions and programs that seek to increase access to and use of social media must find the right balance of the pros and cons of ICTs.
In sum, social media is no longer a possibility for catalyzing economic, social, and cultural change. Instead, it is a reality in the lives of adolescents and youth that provides great opportunities but also some risks. Those issues must be analyzed with attention and rigor, but ultimately the task for development organizations is two-fold. First, it is critical to develop programs that focus on vulnerable and marginalized groups in order to maximize the benefits of ICTs, including social media, for greater equity. Second, we must to draw on the lessons learned on the use of communication technologies for development, particularly on the use of an inclusive and participatory approach to the use of ICTs that will ensure greater voice of individuals and communities in development programs that seek to improve their quality of life and well-being.

In this paper we have discussed the role of social media in communication for development, with a focus on youth. We used an equity perspective by arguing that while development programs must build on the opportunities that social media and other ICTs provide to enhance participation and engagement of youth, it is also important to advocate with policy makers and development organizations that access to social media and ICTs should be available to all youth, particularly those who belong to more vulnerable and marginalized groups.
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Agency in the Mediatized World

*Media, Communication and Development in Transition*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Friday September 9</th>
<th>Globalization and Mediatization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Welcome. Inauguration of the Festival by Sara Bjärstorp, Head of Department of K3, Malmö University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 – 11:00</td>
<td>Introductory address by ORECOMM co-director Oscar Hemer (Malmö University): &quot;ComDev in the Mediatized World&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15 – 12:00</td>
<td>Bo Reimer (Malmö University): &quot;Agency, Structure and Collaborative Media&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 – 13:15</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:45 – 15:15</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:15 – 16:45</td>
<td>Jan Nederveen Pieterse (University of California, Santa Barbara): “WikiLeaks and Democratization”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00 – 17:30</td>
<td>Summary discussion Moderator: Ylva Ekström (Malmö University)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:00</td>
<td>Evening activity: City walk in Malmö – with focus on gentrification and street art. Guide: Kolbjörn Guwällius</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meeting point: Triangeln train station, southern entrance at Smedjegatan (Faculty of Odontology). After 1,5 hours you will be left in Möllevången to experience Malmö on your own
Saturday September 10  

**Communication and Development in Transition**

10:15 – 11:00  

**Nishant Shah** (Centre for Internet and Society, Bangalore): “Digital AlterNatives with a Cause?”

11:15 – 12:00  

**Norbert Wildermuth** (Roskilde University): "Digital empowerment beyond ICT4D"

12:00 – 13:15  

Lunch (preorder)

13:15 – 14:45  

**Karin Wilkins** (University of Texas at Austin): “Mediating Agency”

14:45 – 15:15  

Coffee

15:15 – 16:00  

**Florencia Enghel** (Karlstad University): “Communication, development and social change: present tense and future alternatives”

16:00 – 16:45  

**Helen Hambly Odame** (University of Guelph): “Communicative Actions and Agency in Issues of Global Food, Agriculture and Environment”

17:00 – 17:30  

Summary discussion  
Moderator: **Anders Høg Hansen** (Malmö University)

---

Sunday September 11  

**9.11 - 10 years after:**  

**How it influences communication for development**

10:00 – 11:00  

**Gordon Adam** (iMedia): “Comdev’s emerging role after a decade of technological change and conflict”

11:00 – 12:30  

Workshops: Students presenting their thesis and PhD projects (see below)

13:00 – 14:30  

Lunch (preorder)

14:00 – 17:00  

Workshops and interactive exhibition at Fabriken (The Factory): radio, videos, photos, art and talks (See next page)

18:30  

Barbecue at Fabriken
### Student Workshops Sunday 11 September 11 - 12:30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Workshop 1</th>
<th>Workshop 2</th>
<th>Workshop 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 11:15 | Anders Hylander & Martin Jensen (AU)  
“Challenges of the Media in the Glocalised Culture of Zambia – Research and Findings” | Orsolya Petrit (RU)  
“Myths in Past and Present Societies” | Kevin Perry (RU)  
“Face-to-face Encounters – Public Service Workers and Young Men with Ethnic Minority Backgrounds” |
| 11:40 | Karen Kisakeni Sørensen (RU)  
“Social mobilisation in Nairobi” | Pia Beltoft & Liv L. Petersen (AU)  
“Kuchus in Print – Representations of Sexual Minorities in the Ugandan Press” | Ricky Storm Braskov (RU)  
“Communicating Crime Prevention – Participatory and Community Approaches in Nairobi” |
| 12:05 | Nina Grønlykke Mollerup (RU)  
“Revolutionising Citizen Journalism – Rassd News Network and the Egyptian Revolution” | Rikke Hostrup Haugbølle (KU)  
“Mapping the role of media in the Tunisian uprisings 2010-2011” | Sine Gyrup (RU)  
“Breaking the Silence – Dialogic practice and social changes in maternity wards in Kazakhstan” |
| 12:30 | Amaranta Alfaro (Hamburg University)  
“Social Media Use & Community Involvement in Chile” | | Jake Hunter (MAH)  
“From the desk to the field: First-hand look at ICT projects in Ghana” |

### EXPO Presentations Sunday 11 September 14 - 17:00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:35 – 15:15</td>
<td>Vera Mäder &amp; Jacob Langa Sennek: “Here I am”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:25 – 16:05</td>
<td>Shariar: “The effects of New Media in Iranian presidential election 2009 and after”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 14:00 – 17:00 | Expo creative project features:  
“Kapo – A Surrealistic Prison Camp LARP” Live action roleplaying display by Peter Munthe-Kaas |
“The Power of New media in Iran” Photography series by Shahriar Khonsari

“Re-Medialising Malawian Oral Literature” Audiovisual installation by Jonas Agerbæk Jeppesen

“The Art of Development – The use of art and culture as catalysts for social change” Field study photo series by Line Røijen & Anne Sofie Hansen-Skovmoes

“Zulu-Voss bead work” Bead work by Solveig-Karin Erdal

“Film for Change” Participatory development documentaries by various artists

17.00 – 18:30

Expo Cinema “Afghan Muscles”

“Afghan Muscles”. Documentary, 2006, 59 min, followed by discussion with researcher and cinematographer Christian Vium

Monday September 12

Social Media in Development Cooperation

9:00

Welcome note by Örecomm co-director Thomas Tufte (Roskilde University)

9:15 – 10:00

Paula Uimonen (Spider): “Social and mobile media in ICT4D”

10:00 – 10:30

Coffee

10:30 – 11:15

Birgitte Jallow (Independent consultant): “How can Social Media Contribute to Community Communication for Empowerment?”

11:15 – 12:00

Joachim Beijmo (Sida): “Social Media in Swedish Development Cooperation”

12:00 – 12:30

Plenary debate

12:30 – 13:30

Lunch

14:30 – 15:00 Coffee

15:00 – 15:45 Stine Junge (UNDP Nordic Office): “Social Media in UNDP Headquarters and at country level”

15:45 – 16:30 Petter Åttingsberg (International Media Support, IMS): “Social Media in the Arab World”

16:30 – 17:00 Plenary debate and Wrap-up

Tuesday September 13

Citizen Media and Civic Engagement in a Mediatized World

9:00 Welcome note by Lene Palsbro, Head of CBIT – Department of Communication, Business and Information Technologies, Roskilde University


Respondent: Oscar Hemer (Malmö University)
Facilitator: Thomas Tufte (Roskilde University)

10:15 – 10:45 Coffee

10:45 – 12:15 Panel 1:

John Postill (Sheffield Hallam University): “Social Media, Activism and the #spanishrevolution”

Mirjam de Bruijn (The African Studies Centre, Leiden): “The mobile phone revolution and changes in African’s global citizenship”
Respondent: Paula Uimonen (SPIDER)
Facilitator: Poul Erik Nielsen (University of Aarhus)

12:15 – 13:15
Lunch

13:15 – 14:45
Panel 2:

Ana Fernandez (Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona): “Communication, Media and Governance Reform in the Neoliberal Context”

Teke Ngomba and Jakob Thorsen (University of Aarhus): “Discourses of Positionality and the Challenges of Democratization in the Global South: The case of Nepal and Cameroon”

Respondent: Poul Erik Nielsen (University of Aarhus)
Facilitator: Norbert Wildermuth (Roskilde University)

14:45 – 15:15
Coffee

15:15 – 16:45
Panel 3:

Anastasia Kavada (University of Westminster): “Civic Engagement and Community Building”

Thomas Tufte (Roskilde University): “Citizen Media & Citizen Tactics: pathways to change?”

Respondent: Lisa Richey (Roskilde University)
Facilitator: Norbert Wildermuth (Roskilde University)

16:45 – 17:00
Wrap-up